**Examination Paper Auditing and Follow-up Report**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PART I: BASIC INFORMATION** | | | | | |
| **Course Code** | **Course Title** | **Semester** | **Mid-Term** | **Final** | **New selection** |
|  |  |  | **Re-auditing** |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PART II: FINDINGS** | | | | |
| **S/N** | **Criteria** | **Yes** | **No** | **Comments (if any)** |
| 1. | Approved standard examination format is used |  |  |  |
| 2 | Time allocated to the paper is reasonable and is not too lenient or too tight |  |  |  |
| 3 | There are no grammatical mistakes in the language |  |  |  |
| 3 | The language is simple for comprehension and does not lead to multiple meanings |  |  |  |
| 4 | Examination paper is correctly mapped to CLO as per course assessment chart. |  |  |  |
| 5 | Mark distributions are consistent with the model solutions |  |  |  |
| 6 | Feedback is included in graded exam paper |  |  |  |
| 7 | Actual and maximum mark distributions are correctly shown in the examination front page. |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PART III: EAC RECOMMENDATIONS** | | | | | | | |
| **Recommended corrective actions:**  1.  2.  3. | | | | | | | |
| **To be considered for re-auditing in the next review sitting?** | | | | | | **Yes** |  |
| **No** |  |
| **EAC Chairman’s Name :** |  | **Signature:** |  | **Date :** |  | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PART IV: DEPARTMENT’S FEEDBACK AND FOLLOW UP FOR CORRECTIVE ACTIONS, IF ANY.** | | | | | | |
| **Corrective actions implemented by the concerned department to resolve the issue**  1.  2.  3.  4. | | | | | | |
| **Department’s Chairman’s Name :** |  | **Signature:** |  | **Date :** |  |